

Humboldt Bay Eelgrass Management Plan
Collaborative Partners Meeting #2
November 28, 2016, 10:00 a.m. – 2:00 p.m.
Harbor District Conference Room 601 Startare Drive, Eureka
Call-in phone number: (712) 432-0220 PIN: 443-0801#

Meeting Purpose:

Second of a minimum of five collaborative partners meetings, this meeting will review the Eelgrass Management Plan focus areas, present plan development to date, review key plan components with partners, and receive input on those components.

Desired Outcomes:

By the end of the meeting, participants will:

- Understand EMP development pathway.
- Provide input on key plan components discussed today.

Agenda

WHAT	HOW	WHEN
Introductions	Clockwise round robin	10:00 – 10:10
Our Path Forward	Where we are now, where we are going, pathway to plan adoption	10:10 - 10:25
Conformance Needs, Relative to CEMP or CMP	Humboldt Bay EMP conformance needs relative to CEMP or Comprehensive Management Plan (CMP)	10:25 – 10:55
Up-Front Criteria for Projects	How to determine whether a project may impact eelgrass and what to do about it <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Project Characteristics b. Mitigation planning considerations 	10:55 – 11:25
Avoidance, Minimization, and Mitigation	Strategy & Considerations <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Methods for avoidance and minimization of impacts b. Opportunistic mitigation and site development c. Onsite vs offsite mitigation criteria d. Other considerations <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Physical ○ Policy ○ Evaluation and tracking 	11:25 – 12:05

WHAT	HOW	WHEN
Lunch	(Provided by Harbor District)	12:05 – 12:35
Spatial & Temporal Factors of Mitigation & Conservation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Magnitude of potential eelgrass impacts within focus area relative to system-level eelgrass population b. Sea-level rise & climate change- how to address it within the Plan 	12:35 - 1:15
Project Tracking and Mitigation Credit/Capture	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Project tracking b. Humboldt Bay EMP (CMP) as a mechanism for capture, relational database as a tool to standardize accounting c. Potential incentive for maintaining the tracking system: HD retains the surplus that may result from successful project-level mitigation, shared value, recuperated costs? d. In lieu of a mitigation bank, CMP and database could provide an initial ‘market’ function e. Capturing value within the regulatory frameworks available and desirable management planning structure. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o In lieu fee program o SAMP o Mitigation Bank o RGPs o Other 	1:15 – 1:55
Closing	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Share closing thoughts 	1:55-2:00

Lunch provided, starting at 12:05