

COMMISSIONERS

1st Division: Aaron Newman
2nd Division: Greg Dale
3rd Division: Stephen Kullmann
4th Division: Craig Benson
5th Division: Patrick Higgins

Humboldt Bay Harbor,
Recreation and Conservation District
(707)443-0801
P.O. Box 1030
Eureka, California 95502-1030



Date: 4/5/24

To: Registered Interested Parties List

CC: Rob Holmlund, HBHRCD

From: Mindy Hiley, HBHRCD

Re: Addendum #1 to the RFQ for the PIDP23 Project (a.k.a. "Final Permitting and 30% Design Project")

Introduction

The District released an RFQ on 4/2/24, which can be found here: <https://humboldtby.org/public-notice-announcements-information>. Section 4 on Page 2 of the RFQ encourages "...entities considering submitting their qualifications for the project to request to be registered on a "Registered Interested Parties List." This Addendum #1 to that RFQ is being emailed to everyone that has requested to be added to that list. This Addendum is also being posted on the District website at the link above. This Addendum officially constitutes changes to the RFQ.

Clarification #1a

Section 1 (Pages 1 and 2) indicates that Addendum #1 will be issued by the District on 4/30/24. Instead, Addendum #1 will be issued today (4/5/24) and Addendum #2 will be issued on 4/30/24.

Clarification #1b

The following question was received from Adam Wagschal of Moffat & Nichol on 4/5/2024:

Q: I understand the District may not respond until the April 30 addendum is released but if there is an opportunity for an earlier reply we would appreciate it because it may affect our teaming approach. The question is with regards to this clause:

17. **DISQUALIFICATION OF SUBMITTALS**
More than one Statement of Qualifications from an individual, firm, partnership, or corporation, or combination thereof under the same or different names will not be considered. Reasonable grounds for believing that any Proposer is interested in more than one Statement of Qualifications for the work contemplated will cause the rejection of all proposals in which such bidder is interested. If there is reason for believing that collusion exists among Proposers, none of the participants in such collusion will be considered in future solicitations.

If a subconsultant firm wishes to team as a subconsultant under more than one prime consultant firm, is that permitted?

Addendum #1 to the RFQ for the PIDP23 Project (a.k.a. “Final Permitting and 30% Design Project”)

A: Firms may serve as both a prime consultant on one proposal and as a sub-consultant on a different proposal. Firms may also serve as sub-consultants on more than one proposal. However, no firm may serve as a prime consultant on more than one proposal.

